### metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

## $\eta^5$ -(3)-1-Methyl-1,2-dicarbollyl- $\eta^5$ -2',5'-dimethylpyrrolylcobalt(III)

#### Reijo Sillanpää,<sup>a</sup>\* Jordi Llop,<sup>b</sup> Clara Viñas,<sup>b</sup> Francecs Teixidor<sup>b</sup> and Raikko Kivekäs<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, FIN-20014 Turku, Finland, <sup>b</sup>Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (CSIC), Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland Correspondence e-mail: sillanpa@utu.fi

Received 27 March 2001 Accepted 14 May 2001

In the title compound,  $(\eta^5 \cdot 2, 5 \cdot \text{dimethylpyrrolyl})[(7,8,9,10,11 \cdot \eta) \cdot 7 \cdot \text{methyl} \cdot 7,8 \cdot \text{dicarba-$ *nido* $-undecaborato]cobalt(III), [3 \cdot Co{\eta^5} \cdot [2,5 \cdot (CH_3)_2 \cdot NC_4H_2]] \cdot 1 \cdot CH_3 \cdot 1,2 \cdot C_2B_9H_{10}]$  or  $[Co(C_3H_{13}B_9) \cdot (C_6H_8N)]$ , the Co<sup>III</sup> atom is sandwiched between the pentagonal faces of the pyrrolyl and dicarbollide ligands, resulting in a neutral molecule. The C–C distance in the dicarbollide cage is 1.649 (3) Å.

#### Comment

Our studies concerning 1,2-dicarba-*closo*-dodecaborane(12)s have shown that the C1–C2 ( $C_c$ – $C_c$ ) distance in the cage can be modified by substituting the cluster C atoms (Sillanpää *et al.*, 1996). We have previously prepared mixed cobalt pyrrolyl/dicarbollide *closo* compounds (Bertran *et al.*, 1997; Gómez *et al.*, 1997; Lamrani *et al.*, 1996; Teixidor *et al.*, 1997). It seems that from a structural point of view the cobaltacarborane cluster in these compounds resembles normal *closo* architecture. In order to obtain more information on the  $C_c$ – $C_c$  distances as a function of the  $C_c$  substituent in mixed cobalt pyrrolyl/dicarbollide *closo* compounds, the crystal structure of [3-Co{ $\eta^5$ -[2,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]}-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub>H<sub>10</sub>], (I), is now reported.



A perspective view of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. The metal is sandwiched by pentagonal faces of the monoanionic 2,5-dimethylpyrrolyl and dianionic dicarbollide ligands so that the conformation of the faces is staggered with the pyrrolyl N13 atom being placed between the cluster C atoms. Theoretical calculations show that this is the most stable conformation for  $[3-Co{\eta^5-(NC_4H_4)}-1,2-C_2B_9H_{11}]$ , even though the energy difference between different rotamers is low (Teixidor *et al.*, 2000).

Bond lengths in (I) from the five-membered rings to Co3 are normal, varying from 2.013 (2) to 2.079 (2) Å. The coordinated pentagonal faces are not exactly parallel as the dihedral angle between the planes through the faces is 6.9 (1)°. In (I), the  $C_c - C_c$  distance of 1.649 (3) Å agrees with the value of 1.640 (7) Å in [3-Co{ $\eta^5$ -(NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)}-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>] (Lamrani *et al.*, 1996), but is slightly shorter than the values in the  $C_c - C_c$  disubstituted compounds [3-Co{ $\eta^5$ -(NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)}-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-2-*n*C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>] [1.671 (7) Å], [3-Co{ $\eta^5$ -(NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)}-1-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-2-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>] [1.737 (4) Å] (Teixidor *et al.*, 1997) and [3-Co{ $\eta^5$ -(NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}-1-CH<sub>3</sub>-2-(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>] [1.692 (7) Å; Gómez *et al.*, 1997].



#### Figure 1

 $O\overline{RTEP}$ -3 (Farrugia, 1997) plot of (I). Only the heavy atoms have been labelled. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

This observation is in line with the effect of reported  $C_c$  substituents on the lengthening of the  $C_c-C_c$  distance for *o*-carborane derivatives. In accordance with this, the effect of  $C_c$  monosubstitution on the lengthening of the  $C_c-C_c$  distance is smaller than that of disubstitution.

#### Experimental

Compound (I) was synthesized by two methods. Method A: 1-CH<sub>3</sub>-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>11</sub> (0.20 g, 1.20 mmol) was dissolved in a suspension of K[2,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>] (1.90 g, 14.3 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (100 ml). After 4 h of stirring under reflux, anhydrous CoCl<sub>2</sub> (0.79 g, 6.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for a further 48 h. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*, and the resulting dark solid was treated with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (80 ml). The suspension was filtered and the orange solution was concentrated to 1 ml. Chromatography of the crude orange solution using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub> (6:4) as the mobile phase provided a pure orange complex [ $R_F$ (prep) = 0.65] (yield 0.23 g, 61%). Method *B*: to a potassium (0.51 g, 13.0 mmol) suspension in deoxygenated and dry THF (100 ml), 2,5-dimethylpyrrole (1.30 ml, 13.0 mmol) was added. Then, [N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>][7-CH<sub>3</sub>-7,8-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>] (0.44 g, 1.98 mmol) was incorporated into the

mixture. After 4 h of stirring under reflux, anhydrous CoCl<sub>2</sub> (0.70 g, 5.30 mmol) was added. From this point, the work-up was as for method *A* (yield 0.35 g, 59%). IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3120 (C–H), 3029 (C–H), 2598, 2538, 2524 (B–H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta = 6.0$  (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–H, 1H), 5.8 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–H, 1H), 3.9 (*br s*, C<sub>*c*</sub>–H, 1H), 3.5–1.0 (*br*, B–H), 2.4 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–CH<sub>3</sub>, 6H), 2.3 (*s*, CH<sub>3</sub>, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H{<sup>11</sup>B} NMR:  $\delta = 6.0$  (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–H, 1H), 5.8 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–H, 1H), 3.9 (*br s*, C<sub>*c*</sub>–H, 1H), 3.1 (*br s*, B–H, 4H), 1.8 (*br s*, B–H, 5H), 2.4 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–CH<sub>3</sub>, 6H), 2.3 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–CH<sub>3</sub>, 6H), 2.3 (*s*, C, H, 1H), 3.1 (*br s*, B–H, 4H), 1.8 (*br s*, B–H, 5H), 2.4 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–CH<sub>3</sub>, 6H), 2.3 (*s*, CH<sub>3</sub>, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C[<sup>1</sup>H] NMR:  $\delta = 124.7$  (*s*, N–C<sub>pyr</sub>), 124.2 (*s*, N–C<sub>pyr</sub>), 89.6 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–C<sub>pyr</sub>), 87.6 (*s*, C<sub>pyr</sub>–C<sub>pyr</sub>), 74.9 (*s*, C<sub>*c*</sub>–CH<sub>3</sub>), 60.8 (*s*, C<sub>*c*</sub>–H), 31.6 (*s*, C<sub>*c*</sub>–CH<sub>3</sub>), 13.6 (C<sub>pyr</sub>–CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>11</sup>B NMR:  $\delta = 6.2$  (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 149, 1B), 3.6 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 147, 1B), 0.2 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 153, 1B), -3.8 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 138, 2B), -4.3 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 161, 1B), -7.1 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 148, 1B), -11.8 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 160, 1B), -15.9 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>BH</sub> = 159, 1B). Analysis calculated for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>21</sub>B<sub>9</sub>CoN: C 36.09, H 7.07, N 4.68%; found: C 36.20, H 7.00, N 4.85%.

#### Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{C_3H_{13}B_9})(\mathrm{C_6H_8N})] \\ & M_r = 299.49 \\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, \ P2_1/n \\ & a = 8.1630 \ (11) \ \text{\AA} \\ & b = 16.3957 \ (10) \ \text{\AA} \\ & c = 11.5686 \ (14) \ \text{\AA} \\ & \beta = 98.622 \ (11)^\circ \\ & V = 1530.8 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}^3 \\ & Z = 4 \end{split}$$

#### Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5*S* diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans 2894 measured reflections 2695 independent reflections 2187 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.012$  $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$   $wR(F^2) = 0.077$  S = 1.062695 reflections 211 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $\theta = 19.3-22.6^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 294 (2) KPrism, pale yellow  $0.36 \times 0.34 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$   $h = 0 \rightarrow 10$   $k = 0 \rightarrow 20$   $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$ 

3 standard reflections

every 150 reflections

intensity decay: 0.8%

 $D_{\rm r} = 1.299 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Cell parameters from 25

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

# $$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0312P)^2 \\ &+ 0.4100P] \\ &\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.26 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3} \end{split}$$

H atoms on the C atoms were treated as riding, with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.96 Å. H atoms on B atoms were refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters, giving B–H distances in the range 1.04 (3)–1.12 (3) Å.

#### Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Co3-C2	2.013 (2)	Co3-C17	2.072 (2)
Co3-C15	2.037 (2)	Co3-B8	2.072 (3)
Co3-C1	2.039 (2)	Co3-B4	2.074 (3)
Co3-C16	2.042 (3)	Co3-N13	2.079 (2)
Co3-C14	2.056 (2)	C1-C2	1.649 (3)
Co3-B7	2.064 (3)		

Data collection: *MSC/AFC-5S Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1995); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC-5S Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN for Windows* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GD1152). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Bertran, J., Viñas, C., Gómez, S., Lamrani, M., Teixidor, F., Sillanpää, R. & Kivekäs, R. (1997). Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 62, 1263–1272.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Gómez, S., Viñas, C., Lamrani, M., Teixidor, F., Kivekäs, R. & Sillanpää, R. (1997). *Inorg. Chem.* **36**, 3565–3567.
- Lamrani, M., Gómez, S., Viñas, C., Teixidor, F., Sillanpää, R. & Kivekäs, R. (1996). New J. Chem. 20, 909–912.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1995). MSC/AFC-5S Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1997). *TEXSAN for Windows*. Version 1.01. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sillanpää, R., Kivekäs, R., Teixidor, F., Viñas, C. & Núñez, R. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 2223–2225.
- Teixidor, F., Gómez, S., Lamrani, M., Viñas, C., Sillanpää, R. & Kivekäs, R. (1997). Organometallics, 16, 1278–1283.
- Teixidor, F., Viñas, C., Llop, J., Sillanpää, R. & Kivekäs, R. (2000). Contemporary Boron Chemistry, edited by M. G. Davidson, A. K. Hughes, T. B. Marder & K. Wade, pp. 308–315. London: Royal Society of Chemistry.